

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/822,934	03/30/2001	Tetsuya Mizuguchi	09792909-4796	8307	
26263 75	590 01/07/2004		EXAMI	NER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			DAVIS, DAVI	DAVIS, DAVID DONALD	
P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL		2652			
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004	. 3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/822,934	MIZUGUCHI, TETSUYA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David D. Davis	2652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If the period for reply specified above is les	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 te of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply v e maximum statutory period will period for reply will, by statute, c three months after the mailing d	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊡ This a	ction is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)	is/are withdrawnwed. cted. ected to.					
Application Papers		·				
,,	is/are: a) accept at any objection to the dr (s) including the correction	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See n is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 ar	d 120					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (I	ng Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/822,934

Art Unit: 2652

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.

Claims 1-18, drawn to magnetoresistiance element, classified in class 360, I.

subclass 324.

II. Claims 19-28, drawn to a method of making a magnetoresistance element,

classified in class 29, subclass 603.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the

product can be made by another and materially different process such as one not requiring

oxidizing the surface of a low-resistance metal layer.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of 4.

the claimed invention:

Species I: Figures 1 and 4

Species II: Figure 2

Species III: Figure 3

Application/Control Number: 09/822,934

Art Unit: 2652

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Davis whose telephone number is (703) 308-1503. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs. and Fri. between 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

David D. Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

ddd